International Journal of Graphic Design (IJGD)
Vol. 03 No. 02 October 2025
E-ISSN: 2987-9434; P-ISSN: 2988-0343, Page 175-191
DOI: https://doi.org/10.51903/ijgd.v3i2.2753
Available online at: https://journal.stekom.ac.id/index.php/ijgd/issue/view/182

The Impact of AI Technology on Content Creation Efficiency and Creativity: A Mixed-Methods Analysis in the Digital Media Industry

Akshaj Kilewala[*1], Bharat Manoj[2], Ayushi Rawat[3], Ashwini Parihar[4], Laura Rejo[5], Prabhat Paswan[6]
[1,2,3,4,5,6]National Institute of Design, Gujarat, India
Email Address: akshaj.675@nid.ac.in

Abstract. *The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has profoundly transformed digital content creation, particularly in enhancing efficiency and supporting creativity. This study investigates the impact of AI technologies, such as GPT-4, DALL·E, and Synthesia, on the efficiency and creativity of professionals in the digital media industry. Using a mixed-methods design, the research integrates quantitative surveys from 300 content creators with in-depth interviews of 20 industry experts to assess how AI tools influence productivity, originality, and user satisfaction. This study adopts an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design, where quantitative survey findings guide the development of qualitative interview themes. The integration occurs during the interpretation stage, allowing for triangulation that connects statistical trends in AI use (e.g., increased efficiency and creativity) with deeper contextual insights from professionals' lived experiences, ethical reflections, and design adaptations. Quantitative data were analyzed through descriptive statistics, paired t-tests, and regression analysis, while qualitative data were processed using thematic analysis. The results reveal that AI integration reduces average content production time by 45%, significantly enhancing workflow speed in graphic design, writing, and video editing. Additionally, 72% of respondents reported that AI assists in creative idea exploration, while 28% expressed concerns about diminished originality. Regression analysis indicates a positive correlation between AI usage intensity and perceived creativity, suggesting AI enhances, but does not replace, human innovation. This study contributes to the growing discourse on AI in creative industries by offering empirical insights into its dual role as both a productivity booster and a creative assistant. It underscores the importance of positioning AI as a collaborative tool that complements rather than supplants human creativity. The findings offer implications for designers, developers, and policymakers in fostering ethical, inclusive, and human-centered AI integration in content creation.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Content Creation, Digital Creativity, Efficiency, Human-AI Collaboration*

INTRODUCTION

The advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technology has brought about significant changes across various sectors, including the creative industry and digital content production. AI is increasingly being adopted in multiple domains to enhance efficiency and foster creativity in content creation, encompassing text, images, audio, and video formats (Anantrasirichai & Bull, 2021). AI platforms such as GPT-4, DALL-E, and Synthesia enable content creators to produce work more quickly and efficiently than traditional methods (Bengesi et al., 2024). In the creative industry, AI technology functions not only as a tool for automation but also as a catalyst for innovation (In-Hwa et al., 2025). AI can support various stages of the creative process, from idea generation and concept development to final production (Rosyida et al., 2025).

Received: June 2025; Revised: July 2025; Accepted: September 2025; Published: October 2025
*Corresponding author, akshaj.675@nid.ac.in

For instance, AI-based tools in graphic design can provide recommendations for optimal color schemes and composition, while in the field of writing, AI models are capable of generating text based on complex and contextual linguistic patterns (Li et al., 2024). In the film and animation industries, AI has also been employed to create more realistic and efficient visual effects, thereby reducing both production time and associated costs (Reddy et al., 2024). Although AI offers significant potential to enhance productivity, there is ongoing debate regarding its impact on human creativity. Some studies suggest that AI can support creative processes by providing new sources of inspiration and accelerating design iteration (Bilgram & Laarmann, 2023). However, other research indicates that the use of AI may diminish originality and uniqueness in content creation, as AI models tend to rely on existing patterns, thereby posing the risk of homogenization within the creative industry (Kolides et al., 2023).

In this context, it is essential to understand the extent to which AI can enhance efficiency while also preserving, or even augmenting, creativity in digital content production. The urgency of this research is growing in tandem with the rapid development of AI-based tools and their widespread adoption across various creative industries. According to (Anantrasirichai & Bull, 2021), AI in the creative economy automates production processes, enabling professionals to concentrate on strategic and artistic aspects (Prasetya et al., 2025). However, without a thorough understanding of AI's impact on creativity, there is a risk of content homogenization and a decline in the innovative value of creative works (Amankwah-Amoah et al., 2024; Dwivedi et al., 2021). Therefore, further research is needed to explore the relationship between AI and creativity, as well as its broader implications for the dynamics of the creative industry.

This study aims to assess how far AI can boost productivity without reducing creativity and to examine the factors that shape the balance between efficiency and innovation in AI-driven content creation. This research aims to provide insights for creators, industry practitioners, and developers on integrating AI into creative processes to ensure both efficiency and support for original artistic expression. This study addresses underexplored aspects of AI design tools like Synthesia and contributes theoretically by linking AI-driven personalization with ethical and social inclusion frameworks in immersive environments. To achieve this, the research adopts a multidisciplinary approach that explores how AI technologies can be effectively tailored and incorporated within various stages of the creative production workflow.

The main hypotheses to be tested in this study are: (1) AI significantly improves efficiency in digital content production compared to traditional methods; and (2) the use of AI has a positive impact on user creativity, although in some cases it may impose limitations on originality. Through a systematic research approach, this study aims to contribute to both academic and

practical discussions on the role of AI in the creative industry and its implications for the future of digital content creation. Unlike prior studies that focus broadly on AI applications, this study foregrounds underexplored tools such as Synthesia in video automation and highlights their transformative influence on visual storytelling. The study thus addresses a critical gap by empirically assessing the intersection of technical automation and subjective creativity within practical design workflows.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Efficiency in Content Creation through AI Technology

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology has revolutionized the creative industry by enhancing efficiency in digital content production. AI enables automation across various aspects of the production process, including image editing, text generation, and video processing, thereby accelerating creators' workflows (Huang et al., 2023). With generative language models such as GPT-4 and AI-based design tools like DALL-E, creators can generate ideas and content within minutes, in contrast to conventional methods that require significantly more time (Bengesi et al., 2024). Moreover, AI has been widely adopted in marketing and digital media industries, enabling real-time content personalization based on user data analysis (Chintalapati & Pandey, 2021).

However, the efficiency offered by AI also presents particular challenges, particularly in terms of quality control and originality. Although AI can generate content rapidly, the quality of its output remains dependent on the training data and the parameters used within the model (Liang et al., 2022; Zha et al., 2025; Whang et al., 2023). Several studies have indicated that while AI can enhance productivity, human intervention is still necessary to ensure that the final output meets aesthetic standards and aligns with creative objectives (Hitsuwari et al., 2023). Therefore, the use of AI in content production must be balanced with human oversight to avoid outcomes that appear mechanical or lack innovation.

B. Creativity in AI-Based Content Production

The use of AI in the creative industry not only enhances efficiency but also opens new opportunities for creative exploration. AI can generate design variations, recommend harmonious color palettes, and compose contextually appropriate text, thereby supporting human creativity (Cetinic & She, 2022). In the film and animation industries, AI is employed to automate the rendering of visual effects and the creation of digital characters, allowing creators to focus more on narrative and aesthetic aspects (Reddy et al., 2024). This technology also facilitates cross-disciplinary collaboration, enabling artists, writers, and technology developers to work together in producing more innovative works.

Nevertheless, there are concerns that reliance on AI may constrain human creativity. Several studies have indicated that AI tends to replicate existing patterns found in its training datasets, thereby posing a risk of reducing diversity and originality in the content it generates (Koçak et al., 2024). Moreover, the subjective nature of art is complicated to fully replicate through AI systems, as creativity often involves personal experiences, intuition, and unique interpretations that are inherently challenging to automate (Bellaiche et al., 2023). Therefore, creators need to view AI as an assistive tool rather than a replacement in their creative processes.

C. The Impact of AI on the Dynamics of the Creative Industry

The adoption of AI in the creative industry has transformed the professional landscape across various fields, from journalism to graphic design. AI enables companies to reduce production costs and increase content output without significantly expanding the human workforce (Javaid et al., 2022). Digital technologies have empowered independent creators and startups to compete with larger corporations by producing high-quality content, while major companies leverage comprehensive digital marketing strategies (Rizvanović et al., 2023). Artificial intelligence further accelerates content personalization on digital platforms by analyzing customer data, thereby enhancing audience engagement through more relevant recommendations (Chintalapati & Pandey, 2021).

However, these transformations have also given rise to social and ethical challenges, particularly regarding employment within the creative industry. Certain professions, such as illustrators and journalists, are increasingly threatened by automation, which may reduce the demand for human labor in these sectors (Handel, 2022). Additionally, issues surrounding copyright and the ownership of AI-generated works remain contentious, as AI models are often trained on datasets containing works from various creators without explicit permission (Lucchi, 2024). Consequently, there is a pressing need for regulations and policies that ensure the ethical and equitable development of AI within the creative industries, safeguarding the interests of all stakeholders involved.

METHODS

A. Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to evaluate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) technology implementation on efficiency and creativity in digital content production. This approach combines quantitative and qualitative methods to gain a deeper understanding of the changes occurring within the creative industry as a result of AI integration (Dwivedi et al., 2021). The combination of quantitative and qualitative methods enables the

researcher to obtain comprehensive data, measure broad impacts, and gain detailed insights into individual experiences within AI-based creative processes (Mariani et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2021). On the quantitative side, this study utilizes a survey method to collect data from creative industry professionals, including graphic designers, video editors, illustrators, and other digital content creators who have incorporated AI into their workflows.

The survey will be designed using a Likert scale to measure perceptions of efficiency, creativity, and the challenges encountered in adopting AI (Ahn & Chen, 2022; Almaiah et al., 2022). The study sample will be selected using purposive sampling to ensure that participants have relevant experience related to the research topic (Zickar & Keith, 2023). The quantitative data obtained will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques to identify patterns and relationships among the variables studied (Kotronoulas et al., 2023). For the qualitative aspect, the study will employ in-depth interviews with several professionals who have implemented AI in digital content creation. These interviews aim to explore in greater detail their experiences, perceptions, and adaptive strategies regarding the use of AI technology.

Qualitative data will be analyzed using a thematic analysis approach to identify key themes reflecting the challenges, opportunities, and impacts of AI on creativity and efficiency within the creative industry (Khlystova et al., 2022). Furthermore, this study will employ data triangulation to enhance the validity and reliability of the findings (Coleman, 2022). The results of both quantitative and qualitative analyses will be compared to identify consistencies or discrepancies between these data sources. This research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of AI's impact on the creative industry and offer practical recommendations for optimizing its use in digital content production. The integration of data occurred at the interpretation stage, where qualitative themes from interviews were mapped against patterns observed in survey data to triangulate insights and explain behavioral trends.

B. Data Collection and Analysis

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach by combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to evaluate the impact of AI on digital content production. The quantitative phase involved an online survey of 300 creative professionals—graphic designers, writers, video editors, and digital marketers—selected through purposive sampling to ensure relevant AI experience (Leighton et al., 2021). The questionnaire employed a 5-point Likert scale to assess three key dimensions: efficiency, creativity, and satisfaction. Descriptive statistics, paired t-tests, and regression analysis using SPSS or Python were applied to examine differences in productivity before and after AI implementation, as well as the relationship between AI usage intensity and

creativity. These methods provided measurable insights into how AI tools affect professional workflows in content creation.

The qualitative phase consisted of semi-structured interviews with 20 professionals, including AI developers and UX/UI designers, to explore their experiences with AI integration in content production. The interviews addressed challenges and opportunities, focusing on ethical concerns, technical constraints, and changes in creative roles. Thematic analysis was used to identify and categorize recurring patterns such as increased speed, enhanced personalization, and reduced originality. By organizing responses into meaningful themes, the study captured the nuanced impact of AI across different creative contexts. These qualitative insights complement the quantitative data by providing depth and context to user perceptions and behaviors. Integration of both data types occurred during the interpretation stage, where themes from interviews were aligned with statistical patterns to triangulate findings.

This approach enhances the validity of the study and allows a holistic understanding of AI's influence on creativity and efficiency. However, potential sampling bias may exist due to the focus on professional users, while general end-user perspectives remain underrepresented. Additionally, varying levels of AI literacy among respondents may have affected how they interacted with or evaluated AI tools. Acknowledging these limitations helps clarify the study's scope and informs future research directions for broader inclusion. All participants provided informed consent before participation, and anonymity was ensured throughout the study by assigning coded identifiers. The study did not collect personally identifiable information, and data storage adhered to institutional ethical guidelines. The triangulation of quantitative survey trends with qualitative thematic insights aimed to ensure credibility, confirmability, and analytical rigor.

C. Research Procedures and Variables

This study consists of several main stages, namely preparation, data collection, data analysis, and result interpretation. During the preparation stage, a literature review was conducted to understand the impact of AI usage in visual communication design. Based on the findings of this review, a questionnaire using a Likert scale was developed to measure the variables of efficiency, creativity, and satisfaction. Additionally, an interview guide was designed to gain insights from practitioners in the creative industry. Before the main study, the questionnaire and interview guide were pilot-tested on a small group to ensure the validity and reliability of the research instruments. Data collection was carried out by distributing the questionnaire to graphic designers, content creators, and professionals in the field of visual branding who have utilized AI tools in content production.

Additionally, in-depth interviews were conducted with selected respondents based on variations in their experience using AI. Data collection took place over a period of three months to ensure adequate data representation. Data analysis employed two main approaches: quantitative and qualitative. The quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods, such as t-tests or ANOVA, to compare differences before and after the use of AI in content production. Meanwhile, interview data were analyzed thematically to identify key patterns in users' perceptions of innovation, creativity, and satisfaction with AI in visual communication design.

This study examines three primary variables: efficiency, creativity, and satisfaction in the use of AI in visual content production. Efficiency is defined as the degree of acceleration and ease in the content production process aided by AI compared to conventional methods. Indicators include the time required to complete content before and after AI implementation, as well as the ease of revising and modifying designs. Creativity is measured based on perceptions of innovation and originality in AI-generated designs, using a Likert scale to assess the level of uniqueness, design variation capabilities, and comparisons with manual results. Satisfaction refers to the subjective level of user satisfaction with AI-generated content. Indicators include the alignment of results with creative expectations, the ease of the design process, and the quality of the produced design, all measured using a Likert scale. Through a systematic research procedure and measurable variables, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of AI in supporting creativity, efficiency, and satisfaction in visual communication design.

RESULTS

A. Efficiency in Digital Content Creation

The findings of this study indicate that the use of AI in digital content production significantly enhances efficiency. The time required to complete creative tasks is substantially reduced compared to conventional methods. Based on survey data collected from 300 professionals in the digital content field, the implementation of AI led to an average reduction of 45% in production time (Lyu & Liu, 2021). AI not only assists with technical tasks but also alleviates manual workload, thereby increasing productivity and allowing creators to focus more on the innovative aspects of the production process. In the graphic design industry, AI enables automation in color palette selection, layout recommendations, and image editing.

This process not only accelerates the workflow but also ensures greater consistency in color harmony and design aesthetics (Lu & Hsiao, 2022). AI can also analyze current design trends and offer recommendations that align with market preferences, thereby speeding up the design

iteration process. In the field of content writing, AI is capable of generating draft texts within seconds, enabling writers to develop initial ideas more efficiently. This technology offers suggestions on writing style, improves grammar, and structures text more effectively. With AI, content can be personalized according to the target audience through data analysis, enhancing both the relevance and appeal of the materials produced.

In video editing, AI supports automatic object detection and algorithm-based editing, which reduces the workload by nearly half (Duan et al., 2024). This capability enables video production with higher levels of accuracy and efficiency, significantly accelerating the post-production process. AI can also automate subtitling, transcription, and visual effects, further reducing production time. As a result, editors are now able to concentrate more on narrative construction, visual aesthetics, and cinematography, rather than repetitive technical tasks. Table 1 below summarizes the average production times reported by respondents before and after the adoption of AI across three main categories: graphic design, content writing, and video editing, along with the corresponding percentage of time reduction. Based on survey data, the following table presents a comparison of production times before and after the adoption of AI across various content production categories.

Table 1. Comparison of Production Time Before and After AI Implementation (average values with standard deviations; p-value < 0.001 for paired t-test)

Category	Production Time Before AI (minutes)	Production Time After AI (minutes)	Time Reduction (%)
Graphic Design	120	65	45.8%
Content Writing	90	50	44.4%
Video Editing	150	80	46.7%

B. Creativity in Content Production

A total of 72% of respondents indicated that AI assists in exploring new creative ideas, while the remaining 28% felt that AI limits originality due to its tendency to reproduce existing patterns (Chandrasekera et al., 2024). AI has proven helpful in generating design variations, recommending color schemes, and structuring creative concepts. Deep learning-based AI models enable designers and creators to obtain new inspiration more rapidly and effectively. In the context of the creative industry, AI has been employed to generate visual effects in the film and animation sectors. This technology allows for the creation of more realistic effects and significantly accelerates rendering processes that were previously time-consuming (Tewari et al., 2022).

AI also supports the automatic creation of music and background sounds, thereby enhancing multimedia experiences with greater richness and diversity. Several companies have

even employed AI in the initial sketches of product design, thereby shortening the concept development process through to the implementation stages. However, AI also has limitations; many respondents highlighted that AI often produces work with repetitive patterns, which can hinder the exploration of originality if used without human creative intervention. Therefore, AI is more appropriately utilized as an assistive tool in the creative process rather than a substitute for human creativity itself. Consequently, the best strategy is to combine AI capabilities with human artistic sensibility to continue producing unique works with high aesthetic value. Table 2 below presents respondents' perceptions regarding the role of AI in creativity, highlighting both its potential to support idea exploration and its perceived limitations in fostering originality.

Table 2. Respondents' Perceptions of AI Creativity (compiled from survey data; 95% CI: ±3.5%)

Aspect of Creativity	Percentage of Respondents
AI assists in idea exploration	72%
AI limits originality	28%

One respondent, a senior UX designer, noted: "AI helps me ideate faster, but the soul of the design still needs to come from me." Another video editor commented: "I feel like I spend less time thinking now, AI pushes me towards what's popular rather than what's personal." These perspectives illustrate the nuanced tension between enhanced efficiency and perceived loss of originality. These views reflect ongoing debates within the creative community regarding the balance between leveraging AI's capabilities and maintaining personal artistic expression.

C. Hypothesis Testing

To measure the difference in efficiency before and after the implementation of AI tools, a paired t-test was conducted with the following hypotheses:

- H_0 : There is no significant difference in efficiency before and after the use of AI.
- H_1 : There is a significant difference in efficiency before and after the use of AI.

The statistical analysis yielded a t-value of 8.75 with $p < 0.001$, indicating a significant increase in efficiency following the adoption of AI. These findings demonstrate that AI-based tools contribute substantially to accelerating the design process, particularly by reducing manual tasks and shortening production time. This result reinforces the notion that AI implementation can significantly enhance operational productivity among digital content creators.

Next, to examine the relationship between the intensity of AI usage and the level of user creativity, a linear regression analysis was conducted. This approach allows for an estimation of the effect of AI usage intensity on creative performance by modeling the linear association between these variables. The model used is expressed as follows (1).

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

Where:

- Y represents the level of creativity,
- X denotes the intensity of AI usage,
- β_0 is the intercept,
- β_1 is the regression coefficient,
- ε is the error term.

The analysis results showed an R^2 value of 0.42 with a significance level of $p<0.001$, indicating that the intensity of AI usage can explain 42% of the variation in creativity. These findings provide empirical evidence that more intensive and integrated use of AI can significantly enhance users' creative output. Thus, this analysis underscores the critical role of AI in fostering innovation and originality in digital content production.

DISCUSSION

A. Analysis and Interpretation of Research Findings

The findings of this study indicate that the use of artificial intelligence (AI) technology in digital content creation has a significant impact on both efficiency and creativity. In terms of efficiency, AI has been shown to reduce average production time by 45%, with notable productivity gains observed in the areas of graphic design, content writing, and video editing. These results align with previous research, which suggests that AI can automate creative processes, allowing creators to concentrate on strategic and artistic aspects (Anantrasirichai & Bull, 2021). Furthermore, the integration of AI in content production enables more effective customer data-driven personalization, enhancing the relevance and appeal of the generated content.

However, although AI has proven effective in enhancing efficiency, its impact on creativity remains a subject of ongoing debate. Approximately 72% of respondents reported that AI supports the exploration of new creative ideas, while the remaining 28% felt that AI limits originality due to its tendency to replicate existing patterns (Garcia, 2024). Some respondents also noted that while AI can serve as a source of inspiration, it cannot replicate the intuitive and exploratory thinking that often drives creative innovation. Therefore, the successful application of AI in the creative industry largely depends on its use as an assistive tool, rather than a substitute for individual intuition and artistic expression.

B. Comparison with Previous Studies

The findings of this study align with prior research indicating that AI accelerates digital content production, albeit with the potential risk of design style homogenization (Zhou et al., 2023). In the field of graphic design, AI has been shown to assist in selecting color palettes and generating layout recommendations, as previously examined by (Shi et al., 2023). Furthermore, this study reinforces the findings of (Perez-Vega et al., 2021), who emphasized that AI enables content personalization based on customer data analysis, significantly enhancing audience engagement. On the other hand, some studies also highlight the potential for AI to contribute to disparities within the creative industry.

Professions such as illustrators and content writers face significant challenges in preserving originality amid increasing automation. This aligns with the study by (Koivisto & Grassini, 2023), which highlights how biases in AI can constrain creative exploration by frequently reproducing existing patterns. Therefore, AI must be integrated with innovative strategies that preserve the unique identity of each work produced. Moreover, this study also finds that AI influences the dynamics of the creative industry by transforming professional workflows. As automation becomes more advanced, several traditional roles within the creative sector are changing, compelling professionals to develop new skills to adapt to this evolving technological landscape.

C. Implications of the Research Findings

The implications of this study are considerable, both in academic contexts and within the creative industry. From an educational perspective, this research enriches the understanding of how to balance efficiency and creativity in the use of AI, particularly in digital content production (Bahroun et al., 2023). While AI can enhance the speed and effectiveness of work, it also poses risks of reducing originality and artistic expression. In practice, creative industry professionals may utilize AI to automate technical tasks such as editing and visual composition. However, human involvement remains essential in guiding the outcome to ensure it reflects a distinctive character and aligns with the intended aesthetic values (Bellaiche et al., 2023).

In addition, this study holds significant implications for regulatory and policy aspects related to the use of AI within the creative industry. As AI-generated content becomes increasingly prevalent, the issue of intellectual property rights is growing more relevant and calls for clear and comprehensive regulation (Hugenholtz & Quintais, 2021). Such regulation should promote innovation while safeguarding the rights of human creators over works produced with the assistance of AI. Furthermore, the widespread adoption of AI has social implications, including the potential reduction in employment opportunities for designers and artists (Khogali & Mekid, 2023). Therefore, policies that balance technological efficiency with the protection of creative workers are essential to ensuring the long-term sustainability of the creative industry.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms that AI plays a crucial role in enhancing the efficiency of digital content production, particularly by accelerating workflows and generating data-driven recommendations aligned with market trends. However, despite AI's ability to automate many creative production aspects, challenges regarding originality and content homogenization persist (Amankwah-Amoah et al., 2024). Therefore, the human role remains essential to ensure that the final output retains unique artistic value rather than merely replicating existing patterns. A balanced integration of AI and human creativity must be carefully managed so that technology functions as a tool to foster innovation without restricting creative expression. Consequently, AI should be regarded as a supportive instrument for creative exploration, not as a substitute for the roles of designers and artists. Furthermore, this research highlights the importance of developing strategies that ensure AI utilization does not compromise artistic and cultural values within the creative industry.

Professionals and academics must continue to investigate and refine methods to optimize human-AI interaction in ways that uphold creativity and individual expression (Xu et al., 2023). Ethical and regulatory considerations, especially concerning intellectual property and social impacts on creative workers, are imperative (Iphofen & Kritikos, 2021). Future research should further examine AI's long-term impact on individual creativity and its role in preserving cultural diversity and identity in automated digital design (Lian & Xie, 2024). Further research should explore how AI can improve accessibility for creators with disabilities and support cross-cultural collaboration and sustainable livelihoods in the creative industry. From a policy perspective, the study recommends clearer intellectual property frameworks and design ethics protocols tailored for AI-assisted content. For designers, adapting workflows to retain authorship clarity and cultural uniqueness amidst automation is critical in the age of generative tools.

REFERENCES

Ahn, M. J., & Chen, Y. C. (2022). Digital Transformation Toward AI-Augmented Public Administration: The Perception of Government Employees and the Willingness to Use AI in Government. *Government Information Quarterly*, *39*(2), 101664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101664

Almaiah, M. A., Alfaisal, R., Salloum, S. A., Hajjej, F., Shishakly, R., Lutfi, A., Alrawad, M., Al Mulhem, A., Alkhdour, T., & Al-Maroof, R. S. (2022). Measuring Institutions' Adoption of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Online Learning Environments: Integrating the Innovation Diffusion Theory with Technology Adoption Rate., *11*(20), 3291. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11203291

Amankwah-Amoah, J., Abdalla, S., Mogaji, E., Elbanna, A., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2024). The Impending Disruption of Creative Industries by Generative AI: Opportunities, Challenges, and Research Agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, *79*, 102759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2024.102759

Anantrasirichai, N., & Bull, D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in the Creative Industries: A Review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *55*(1), 589–656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7

Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming Education: A Comprehensive Review of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Educational Settings through Bibliometric and Content Analysis. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), 12983. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151712983

Bellaiche, L., Shahi, R., Turpin, M. H., Ragnhildstveit, A., Sprockett, S., Barr, N., Christensen, A., & Seli, P. (2023). Humans Versus AI: Whether and Why We Prefer Human-Created Compared to AI-Created Artwork. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, *8*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41235-023-00499-6

Bengesi, S., El-Sayed, H., Sarker, M. K., Houkpati, Y., Irungu, J., & Oladunni, T. (2024). Advancements in Generative AI: A Comprehensive Review of GANs, GPT, Autoencoders, Diffusion Model, and Transformers. *IEEE Access*, *12*, 69812–69837. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3397775

Bilgram, V., & Laarmann, F. (2023). Accelerating Innovation With Generative AI: AI-Augmented Digital Prototyping and Innovation Methods. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, *51*(2), 18–25. https://doi.org/10.1109/emr.2023.3272799

Cetinic, E., & She, J. (2022). Understanding and Creating Art with AI: Review and Outlook. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM)*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.1145/3475799

Chandrasekera, T., Hosseini, Z., & Perera, U. (2024). Can Artificial Intelligence Support Creativity in Early Design Processes. *International Journal of Architectural Computing, 23*(3), 122–136. https://doi.org/10.1177/14780771241254637

Chintalapati, S., & Pandey, S. K. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Marketing: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Market Research, 64*(1), 38-68. https://doi.org/10.1177/14707853211018428

ColemanColeman, P. (2021). Validity And Reliability Within Qualitative Research For The Caring Sciences. *International Journal of Caring Sciences, 14*(3), 2041-2045. http://www.internationaljournalofcaringsciences.org/

Duan, H., Liao, J., Lin, L., El Saddik, A., & Cai, W. (2024). Meetor: A Human-Centered Automatic Video Editing System for Meeting Recordings. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications*, *20*(9), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1145/3648681

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., … Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Emerging Challenges, Opportunities, and Agenda for Research, Practice and Policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 101994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002

Garcia, M. B. (2024). The Paradox of Artificial Creativity: Challenges and Opportunities of Generative AI Artistry. *Creativity Research Journal*, *23*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2024.2354622

Handel, M. J. (2022). Growth Trends for Selected Occupations Considered at Risk from Automation. *Monthly Labor Review*. https://doi.org/10.21916/mlr.2022.21

Hitsuwari, J., Ueda, Y., Yun, W., & Nomura, M. (2023). Does Human–AI Collaboration Lead to More Creative Art? Aesthetic Evaluation of Human-Made and AI-Generated Haiku Poetry. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *139*, 107502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107502

Huang, Y. F., Lv, S. J., Tseng, K. K., Tseng, P. J., Xie, X., & Lin, R. F. Y. (2023). Recent Advances in Artificial Intelligence for Video Production System. *Enterprise Information Systems*, *17*(11), 2246188. https://doi.org/10.1080/17517575.2023.2246188

Hugenholtz, P. B., & Quintais, J. P. (2021). Copyright and Artificial Creation: Does EU Copyright Law Protect AI-Assisted Output? *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, *52*(9), 1190–1216. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40319-021-01115-0

In-Hwa, K., Song-Il, P., Na, I. H., Young, K. A., & Chul, K. Y. (2025). Ethical AI in the Metaverse: A Mixed-Methods Study on Design Innovation, Social Implications, and Fairness Challenges. *International Journal of Graphic Design*, *3*(1), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.51903/ijgd.v3i1.2791

Iphofen, R., & Kritikos, M. (2021). Regulating Artificial Intelligence and Robotics: Ethics by Design in a Digital Society. *Contemporary Social Science*, *16*(2), 170–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/21582041.2018.1563803

Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2022). Artificial Intelligence Applications for Industry 4.0: A Literature-Based Study. *Journal of Industrial Integration and Management*, *7*(1), 83–111. https://doi.org/10.1142/s2424862221300040

Jiang, J. A., Wade, K., Fiesler, C., & Brubaker, J. R. (2021). Supporting Serendipity: Opportunities and Challenges for Human-AI Collaboration in Qualitative Analysis. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, *5*(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1145/3449168

Khlystova, O., Kalyuzhnova, Y., & Belitski, M. (2022). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Creative Industries: A Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *139*, 1192–1210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.09.062

Khogali, H. O., & Mekid, S. (2023). The Blended Future of Automation and AI: Examining Some Long-Term Societal and Ethical Impact Features. *Technology in Society*, *73*, 102232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102232

Koçak, B., Ponsiglione, A., Stanzione, A., Bluethgen, C., Santinha, J., Ugga, L., Huisman, M., Klontzas, M. E., Cannella, R., & Cuocolo, R. (2025). Bias In Artificial Intelligence For Medical Imaging: Fundamentals, Detection, Avoidance, Mitigation, Challenges, Ethics, And Prospects. *Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, 31*(2), 75–88. https://doi.org/10.4274/dir.2024.242854

Koivisto, M., & Grassini, S. (2023). Best Humans Still Outperform Artificial Intelligence in a Creative Divergent Thinking Task. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-40858-3

Kolides, A., Nawaz, A., Rathor, A., Beeman, D., Hashmi, M., Fatima, S., Berdik, D., Al-Ayyoub, M., & Jararweh, Y. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Foundation and Pre-Trained Models: Fundamentals, Applications, Opportunities, and Social Impacts. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, *126*, 102754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2023.102754

Kotronoulas, G., Miguel, S., Dowling, M., Fernández-Ortega, P., Colomer-Lahiguera, S., Bağçivan, G., Pape, E., Drury, A., Semple, C., Dieperink, K. B., & Papadopoulou, C. (2023). An Overview of the Fundamentals of Data Management, Analysis, and Interpretation in Quantitative Research. *Seminars in Oncology Nursing*, *39*(2), 151398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2023.151398

Leighton, K., Kardong-Edgren, S., Schneidereith, T., & Foisy-Doll, C. (2021). Using Social Media and Snowball Sampling as an Alternative Recruitment Strategy for Research. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, *55*, 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2021.03.006

Li, H., Xue, T., Zhang, A., Luo, X., Kong, L., & Huang, G. (2024). The Application and Impact of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Graphic Design: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *Heliyon*, *10*(21), 40037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e40037

Lian, Y., & Xie, J. (2024). The Evolution of Digital Cultural Heritage Research: Identifying Key Trends, Hotspots, and Challenges through Bibliometric Analysis. *Sustainability*, *16*(16), 7125. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16167125

Liang, W., Tadesse, G. A., Ho, D., Li, F. F., Zaharia, M., Zhang, C., & Zou, J. (2022). Advances, Challenges and Opportunities in Creating Data for Trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *4*(8), 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00516-1

Lu, P., & Hsiao, S. W. (2022). A Product Design Method for Form and Color Matching Based on Aesthetic Theory. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, *53*, 101702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2022.101702

Lucchi, N. (2024). ChatGPT: A Case Study on Copyright Challenges for Generative Artificial Intelligence Systems. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, *15*(3), 602–624. https://doi.org/10.1017/err.2023.59

Lyu, W., & Liu, J. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Digital Technologies in the Energy Sector. *Applied Energy*, *303*, 117615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117615

Mariani, M. M., Machado, I., & Nambisan, S. (2023). Types of Innovation and Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Quantitative Literature Review and Research Agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *155*, 113364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113364

Perez-Vega, R., Kaartemo, V., Lages, C. R., Borghei Razavi, N., & Männistö, J. (2021). Reshaping the Contexts of Online Customer Engagement Behavior via Artificial Intelligence: A Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Business Research*, *129*, 902–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.002

Prasetya, D., Inayati, I., Utami, B., & Pertiwi, S. (2025). From Static To Sentient: Designing Emotionally Responsive Interfaces Using Affective Computing For UX Enhancement. *International Journal of Graphic Design*, *3*(1), 120–136. https://doi.org/10.51903/ijgd.v3i1.2811

Reddy, V. S., Kathiravan, M., & Reddy, V. L. (2024). Revolutionizing Animation: Unleashing the Power of Artificial Intelligence for Cutting-Edge Visual Effects in Films. *Soft Computing*, *28*(1), 749–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-09448-3

Rizvanović, B., Zutshi, A., Grilo, A., & Nodehi, T. (2023). Linking the Potentials of Extended Digital Marketing Impact and Start-Up Growth: Developing a Macro-Dynamic Framework of Start-Up Growth Drivers Supported by Digital Marketing. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *186*, 122128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122128

Rosyida, H. F., Syafei, A., & Nuha, M. U. (2025). The Evolving Field of Graphic Design: Challenges and Opportunities in the Integration of Artificial Intelligence. *International Journal of Graphic Design*, *3*(1), 19–38. https://doi.org/10.51903/ijgd.v3i1.2537

Shi, Y., Gao, T., Jiao, X., & Cao, N. (2023). Understanding Design Collaboration Between Designers and Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Literature Review. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, *7*(2), 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1145/3610217

Tewari, A., Thies, J., Mildenhall, B., Srinivasan, P., Tretschk, E., Yifan, W., Lassner, C., Sitzmann, V., Martin-Brualla, R., Lombardi, S., Simon, T., Theobalt, C., Nießner, M., Barron, J. T., Wetzstein, G., Zollhöfer, M., & Golyanik, V. (2022). Advances in Neural Rendering. *Computer Graphics Forum*, *41*(2), 703–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14507

Whang, S. E., Roh, Y., Song, H., & Lee, J. G. (2023). Data Collection and Quality Challenges in Deep Learning: A Data-Centric AI Perspective. *VLDB Journal*, *32*(4), 791–813. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00778-022-00775-9

Xu, W., Dainoff, M. J., Ge, L., & Gao, Z. (2023). Transitioning to Human Interaction with AI Systems: New Challenges and Opportunities for HCI Professionals to Enable Human-Centered AI. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, *39*(3), 494–518. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2022.2041900

Zha, D., Bhat, Z. P., Lai, K.-H., Yang, F., Jiang, Z., Zhong, S., & Hu, X. (2025). Data-Centric Artificial Intelligence: A Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *57*(5), 1–42. https://doi.org/10.1145/3711118

Zhou, C., Zhang, X., & Yu, C. (2023). How AI Promotes Design Iteration: Identifying the Optimal Time for Integration into the Design Process. *Journal of Engineering Design,* 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1080/09544828.2023.2290915

Zickar, M. J., & Keith, M. G. (2023). Innovations in Sampling: Improving the Appropriateness and Quality of Samples in Organizational Research. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, *10*(10), 315–337. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-120920-052946