JURNAL ILMIAH KOMPUTERISASI AKUNTANSI, Vol. 17, No. 2 ,Desember 2024, pp.349-365 p-ISSN : 1979-116X (print) e-ISSN : 2621-6248 (online) DOI: 10.51903/kompak.v17i2.2072 http://journal.stekom.ac.id/index.php/kompak

# **Digital Transformation of BPRACo by Designing IT Governance with COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area**

# M. Agung Rizaldi<sup>1</sup>, Rahmat Mulyana<sup>2</sup>, Luthfi Ramadani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Information Systems, School of Industrial Engineering, Telkom University agungrizaldi@student.telkomuniversity.ac.id <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer and Systems Sciences, Stockholm University rahmat@dsv.su.se <sup>3</sup>Information Systems, School of Industrial Engineering, Telkom University <u>luthfi</u>@telkomuniversity.ac.id

# ARTICLE INFO

# ABSTRACT.

Article history:

Received 26 September 2024 Received in revised form 18 Oktober 2024 Accepted 15 November 2024 Available online 1 Desember 2024

Digital transformation (DT) is a necessity for incumbent companies today, including MSMEs, to be able to continue to compete in the era of technological disruption and accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic. This research aims to design IT governance (ITG) for BPRACo MSMEs using the COBIT 2019 SME focus area framework. The research method used is design science research (DSR) which creates artifacts in the form of ITG solution development methods and systems. The research results revealed that the highest GMO priorities selected were APO10 Managed Vendors, MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements, and APO12 Managed Risk. From the analysis of the selected GMOs, there are gaps and recommendations for improvement in the seven components which are then mapped into three aspects, namely people, process and technology aspects. The results of the research analysis show that the ambidextrous IT governance approach has succeeded in increasing the level of capability in several main components of BPRACo MSMEs in adopting DT. The right ITG strategy can help BPRACo MSMEs operating in the banking industry achieve a successful DT journey. Keywords Digital Transformation; Design Science

Research; IT Governance; COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area; Bank.BPRACo, COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area, Digital Transformation, IT Governance.

# 1. Introduction

Digital transformation (DT) is essential for every company today to avoid falling behind due to technological disruption, which has been accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic (Pahrevi et al., 2022). The technologies from DT that can be implemented by companies to increase efficiency and competitiveness include mobile applications, websites, digital customer service, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence as enablers (Bloomberg, 2018; Nirmala & Lavianto, 2019). DT is a crucial factor for

Received September 26, 2024; Revised Okt 18, 2024; Accepted November 15, 2024

maintaining stability and increasing a company's stock value, moreover, digital transformation can also enhance customer satisfaction in the financial industry in Indonesia (Gurbaxani & Dunkle, 2019; Mulyana et al., 2024b). Many companies have invested in DT but have not achieved the expected results and tend to fail due to poor IT governance (ITG) (Obwegeser et al., 2020). Governance structure, business processes, and relational mechanisms are important stakeholders in the effective implementation of DT (Mulyana et al., 2021). In previous research, 46 ITG mechanisms have been identified as positively impacting DT in the financial industry in Indonesia, specifically at Bank Rakyat Indonesia. These 46 mechanisms consist of 20 governance structures, 21 business processes, and 5 relational mechanisms (Mulyana et al., 2022).

A framework is an appropriate solution to help companies begin their DT journey. COBIT 2019 is a framework that can assist in starting the DT journey from an ITG perspective by leveraging IT and business alignment within a company (Lompoliu et al., 2022). Therefore, COBIT 2019 is crucial for DT, especially in the financial industry. However, COBIT 2019 is considered well-suited for large companies but not for small to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) due to the complexity of its implementation (Volders, 2021). Nonetheless, COBIT 2019 is not entirely irrelevant for SMEs but requires a simplified method to make it more flexible for smaller companies (Küller et al., 2012). As a result, ISACA has released the COBIT 2019 Small and Medium Enterprise Focus Area book, which has been simplified to a more specific context and is relevant to the situation of SMEs (ISACA, 2021).

BPRACo, as a micro, small, and medium enterprise (MSME) in the financial industry, or also known as a microfinance institution (LKM) (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, 2024), needs to adopt ambidextrous IT governance mechanisms to optimize the implementation of limited resources and IT management strategies to enhance company performance through DT, this hybrid IT governance mechanisms combine traditional ITG with agile-adaptive ITG (Mulyana et al., 2023). Additionally, BPRACo must comply with the regulations stated in the Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Regulation Number 75/POJK.03/2016 concerning IT standards for Rural Banks (BPR) and Rural Sharia Banks (BPRS) (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, 2016). The advancement of IT brings not only positive impacts but also negative ones, requiring companies to continually innovate and implement digital transformation with limited resources (Mulyana et al., 2022). Therefore, implementing DT at BPRACo as an MSME with good ITG is necessary, guided by the COBIT 2019 Small and Medium Enterprise Focus Area book (ISACA, 2021). This study is different with previous research in large bank that designed ITG using COBIT 2019 Governance and Management Objectives focused on Align, Plan, and, Organize (APO) domain in general (Permana et al., 2021). Moreover, prior studies used COBIT 2019 Focus Areas such as Information Security, I&T Risk Management, DevOps in the fintech sector (Prayudi et al., 2023; Satriadi et al., 2023), insurance sector (Andyas et al., 2023; Viamianni et al., 2023), and large-scale banks (Dewi et al., 2023; Rahmadana et al., 2023; Tarbiyatuzzahrah et al., 2023), which do not fall under the MSME category and not implemented COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area framework.

DT is a fundamental change process that adopts disruptive technology to increase value, with one such value being related to ITG (Ebert & Duarte, 2018; Gong & Ribiere, 2021; Gurbaxani & Dunkle, 2019). Haes et al., (2020) states that ITG itself is an integral part of corporate governance, involving the implementation of structures, processes, and relational mechanisms. An agile strategy in ITG can lead to the success of DT, as this strategy is adopted to avoid DT failures due to poor ITG (Obwegeser et al., 2020; Vejseli et al., 2018). In another previous research at Bank Rakyat Indonesia which is large-scale banks, hybrid IT governance mechanisms using agile-adaptive ITG strategies and traditional ITG strategies were found to guide corporate ITG to optimize resources and IT management strategies to enhance performance through DT (Mulyana et al., 2024a).

This research uses the COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area framework to formulate several questions: How is ITG based on the results of a gap analysis assessment in the scope of the design factor priority COBIT 2019 SME focus area for MSME DT? How do the seven components of the COBIT 2019 SME focus area impact MSME DT? How does the estimated increase in ITG capability based on the COBIT 2019 SME focus area influence digital transformation in MSMEs? Does the influence of ITG improvement design assist the DT journey in the MSME scope?

### 2. Research Methods

In this study, the method used is the design science research (DSR) framework. DSR is a method for creating artifacts in the form of models, methods, and systems to support the

development and management of ITG solutions.(A. Hevner & Chatterjee, 2010; A. R. Hevner et al., 2008; Johannesson & Perjons, 2014). The conceptual method used can be seen in the Figure 1.



Figure 1. Research Methods, adapted from (A. R. Hevner et al., 2008)

Figure 1 shows an illustration of the DSR research method. The DSR research method is divided into three sections: environment, research, and knowledge base.



Figure 2. Research Process

Figure 2 explains the research process in this study. The research process is divided into five stages: problem explanation, requirement determination, design and development, demonstration, and evaluation. In the problem explanation stage, there are four steps: identifying the problem, defining the research problem, setting research objectives, and determining the research boundaries. In the requirement determination stage, there are six steps: creating a list of questions, conducting semi-structured interviews to collect various data at BPRACo that can be found at with credible person and four sessions as written in Table 2 using iterative approach and document triangulation to achieve data saturation (Fusch Ph D & Ness, 2015), prioritizing IT governance and technology (ITG) objectives based on the prioritization mechanism, analyzing the seven components' capabilities, analyzing the capability gaps of the seven components, and analyzing potential improvement recommendations.

In the design and development stage, there are three steps: drafting potential improvement recommendations, drafting recommendations for the people, process, and technology aspects, and drafting improvement prioritization based on resources, risk, and value. In the demonstration stage, there are two steps: developing an implementation roadmap and analyzing the impact of implementation on the design of the seven components' capability recommendations for ITG. In the

evaluation stage, there are four steps: testing credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability (Shenton, 2004).

# 2.1 Data Collection

Data for this research was collected using two methods: semi-structured interviews with key informants at BPRACo to gather primary data, and document triangulation to collect internal and external company documents as secondary data. The specific data that needed for this research can be found at Table 1.

Table 1. Data Requirements

Data Type	Data Name
Primary Data	Internal Situation Data of BPRACo
Secondary Data	BPRACo Profile
	BPRACo Organizational Structures
	BPRACo Annual Report
	Strategic plan document of BPRACo
	Regulatory Documents Related to IT Governance at BPRACo

Collected data was gathered through four sessions of offline and online interviews conducted between March 2024 and June 2024 with four respondents. Detailed methods can be found in Table 2. Table 2. Collection Data Details

Date	Sessions	Respondents
14 March 2024	Offline	1. Operational Director
15 March 2024	Offline	2. Manager of IT, Research, and Business Development
26 April 2024	Online	3. HR Manager
20 June 2024	Online	4. IT Staff

According to the collected data, it can be concluded that BPRACo are doing development and alignment on their general organization because of merger and migration. BPRACo needs guideline to align their current policy and procedure regarding IT and Business alignment.

### 2.2 Data Analysis

The collected data is then analyzed using COBIT design factors, the prioritization of IT governance and management (ITGM) objectives for COBIT 2019 Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) focus areas, IT governance process mechanisms, and applicable regulations. These factors are used to measure the toppriority ITG objectives, which are then analyzed using the seven components of COBIT 2019 SME focus areas: process components, organizational structure components, information components, people, skills, and competencies components, principles, policies, and procedures components, culture, ethics, and behavior components, and services, infrastructure, and applications components.

#### 2.3 Evaluation

The results of this research will be evaluated based on credibility testing, transferability testing, dependability testing, and confirmability testing. (Shenton, 2004).

#### 3. Research Results

Table 3 presents the prioritization results from several assessment mechanisms, including design factors (DF), COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area for SMEs, applicable regulations, references from papers on IT Governance Mechanisms, and the total obtained from these four assessments.

GMO	DF	SME Focus Area	Regulation	ITG Mechanism	Total
APO10	100	80	100	100	97
MEA03	100	100	80	100	97
APO12	100	85	80	100	94

Table 3. Results of Prioritization Mechanism Analysis

From the prioritization results in Table 3, there are three prioritized Governance & Management Objectives (GMO): APO10 Managed Vendors, MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements, and APO12 Managed Risk. These three prioritized GMOs are used to analyze IT governance at BPRACo.

# 3.1 Results of Gap Analysis for Process Components

Table 4 displays the results of the assessment of process component practices for the GMOs in the research subject, along with the achieved capability levels.

Table 4. Results of Gap Analysis for Process Components

GMO Practices Assessment		Capability Levels		
APO10 Managed Vendors				
APO10.01		100% Fully		3
		100% Fully		4
	50% Partially	5		
APO10.02	50% Partially	2		
		10	0% Fully	3
APO10.03		10	0% Fully	3
		10	0% Fully	4
		10	0% Fully	5
APO10.04	50% Partially	3		
	25% Partially	4		
APO10.05		10	0% Fully	2
		10	0% Fully	3
		10	0% Fully	4
	50% Partially	5		
Total Capabilit	y Level Achieved	l by APO10		16
Average APO1	0 Capability Sco	re		3,2
	MEA03 Ma	anaged Complianc	e with External Requireme	nts
MEA03.01		10	0% Fully	2
		10	0% Fully	3
MEA03.02		10	0% Fully	3
MEA03.03		10	0% Fully	3
	0% Not Achieve	d 4		
	0% Not Achieve	d 5		
MEA03.04		100	0% Fully	3
		100	0% Fully	4
Total Capabilit	y Level Achieved	l by MEA03		13
Average MEA	03 Capability Sec	ore		3,25
		APO10 Ma	inaged Risk	
APO12.01		100	0% Fully	2
	500 ( D	100	J% Fully	3
1 0 1 2 0 2	50% Partially	4		2
APO12.02		100	0% Fully	3
		100	D% Fully	4
4 DO 10 00		100	J% Fully	5
APO12.03		100	J% Fully	2
	750/ T 1	100	J% Fully	3
A DO 12 04	75% Largerly	4		2
AP012.04		100	J% Fully	3
A DO 12.05		100% Fully 4		4
AP012.05		100	J% Fully	2
A DO 12 07		100% Fully 3		3
AP012.06		100% Fully 3		3
		100	J% Fully	4
		100	J% Fully	3
Iotal Capabilit	Total Capability Level Achieved by APO12		23	
Average APU12 Capability Score 3,8			5,8	
	Legend:	Gaps in GMO		
	Pi	actices	J	

Table 4 shows that the average capability scores are 3.2 for APO10, 3.25 for MEA03, and 3.8 for APO12. The processes are derived from the COBIT 2019 Focus Area for SMEs. The results indicate gaps in the practices for GMO APO10.02, APO10.04, APO10.05, MEA03.03, APO12.01, and APO12.03.

### 3.2 Results of Gap Analysis for Organizational Structures Components

Table 5 explains the recommended organizational structure from the COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area and the related GMOs that suggest this organizational structure, along with the current organizational structure of BPRACo.

Organizational Structure of COBIT 2019 SME		GMO	Organizational Structures of BPRACo
General Manager		MEA03	Chief Executive Officer
Financial	MEA0	There is currently	
Manager	3	no role for a	
		Finance Manager	
Operations Manag	ger	MEA03	Operations Manager
Business Process	Owners	MEA03, APO12	Business Process Owners
Head of IT		APO10, MEA03,	IT Manager, Research, and Business
		APO12	Development
Security Expert	APO12	There is currently	
		no role for a	
		Security Expert	
IT Development		APO10, MEA03,	IT Manager, Research, and Business
Coordinator		APO12	Development
IT Operations Coordinator		APO10, MEA03,	IT Manager, Research, and Business
_		APO12	Development
Privacy Officer	APO10	There is currently	
	,	no role for a	
	MEA0	Privacy Officer	
	3,		
	APO12		
Legal Department		APO10, MEA03	Executive Officer, Corporate Secretary and
			Corporate Legal
Compliance or Quality		APO10, MEA03	Executive Officer for Compliance, MR, and
			APU PPT
Audit		MEA03	Executive Officer for Internal Audit
	Legend:	Gaps in	
	Organiza	tional Structures	

Table 5. Gap Analysis for Organizational Structures Component

The results from Table 5 show gaps in the positions of Financial Manager, Security Expert, and Privacy Officer. BPRACo does not currently have these recommended roles in place.

# 3.3 Results of Gap Analysis for Information Components

Table 6 explain details about the information components for each GMO practice, including the recommended information outputs and the current state at BPRACo.

GMO Practices	Information Output	Current State			
	APO10 Managed Vendors				
APO10.01	Vendor catalog	Vendor Management Policy			
	Potential revisions to vendor contracts	Vendor Management Policy			
	Vendor significance and evaluation criteria	Service Level Monitoring Policy			
APO10.02	Vendor RFIs and RFPs	Vendor Selection SOP			

 Table 6. Gap Analysis for Information Component

GMO Practices	Information Output		Current State
	RFI and RFP evaluations	No RFI and RFP Evaluation Documents Available	
	Decision results	of vendor evaluations	Vendor Selection SOP
APO10.03	Results and sugg	gested improvements	Change Request SOP
	Vendor roles and	l responsibilities	Vendor Management Policy
APO10.04	Identified	No Vendor Risk	
	vendor delivery risk	Identification Conducted	
	Identified contra minimize risk	ect requirements to	Service Level Agreement (SLA) SOP
APO10.05	Vendor complian	nce monitoring criteria	Service Level Agreement (SLA) SOP
	Vendor complian results	nce monitoring review	Service Level Agreement (SLA) SOP
	MEA03 Man	aged Compliance with Ex	ternal Requirements
MEA03.01	Log of required	compliance actions	Internal Audit Policy
	Compliance req	uirements register	Internal Audit Policy, Issue Handling Policy, and Incident Handling Policy, along with Daily Log Activity
MEA03.02	Communication	of changed compliance	Change Log Document
	requirements		
	Updated I&T po	licies and procedures	Change Management Policy
MEA03.03	Compliance con	firmations	Internal Audit Policy
	Identified compliance gaps	Compliance Gap Mapping Not Yet Conducted	
MEA03.04	No Output		-
APO12 Managed Ri		sk	
APO12.01	Identified risk is	sues and factors	Risk Management Policy
	Data on risk eve factors	nts and contributing	Risk Management Policy and Risk Profile
APO12.02	Risk analysis res	sults	Risk Management Policy and Risk Profile
APO12.03	Documented risk profile, including status of risk managements actions		Risk Management Policy and Risk Profile
APO12.04	Risk analysis and risk profile reports for stakeholders		Risk Management Policy
	Results of third- party risk assessments	No Third-Party Risk Analysis Assessment Available	
APO12.05	Project proposal	s for reducing risk	Risk Management Policy
APO12.06	Risk impact con	nmunication	Risk Management Policy
	Risk-related roo	t causes	Risk Management Policy and Issue Handling Policy
	Legend: Gaps in C	GMO Practices	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Table 6 explains that BPRACo still has gaps in the information components for GMO practices APO10.02, APO10.04, MEA03.03, and APO10.04.

# 3.4 Results of Gap Analysis for People, Skills, and Competencies Components

Table 7 details the recommended skills for each GMO APO10, MEA03, and APO12, along with the current condition at BPRACo.

Skills	Current State			
	APO10 Managed Vendors			
Contract Management	BPRACo already has someone who can manage contracts.			
Purchasing	BPRACo has someone responsible for purchasing from external			
	parties.			
Sourcing	There is currently no expert in sourcing.			
MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements				
Information Security	There is a team established to ensure information security.			
APO10 Managed Risk				
Business Risk Management	BPRACo already has a team with skills to manage business risks.			
Information Assurance	BPRACo already has an individual with skills related to Information			
	Assurance.			
Risk Management	BPRACo has a SMKI team with skills in managing risks.			
Legend: Gaps in Skills				

Table 7. Gap Analysis for People, Skills, and Competencies Component

Table 7 shows that BPRACo still has a gap in sourcing skills within the people, skills, and competencies component recommended by GMO APO10.

### 3.5 Results of Gap Analysis for Principles, Policies, and Procedures Components

Table 8 explains the relevant policies for each selected GMO.

<b>Relevant Policies</b>	Current State		
APO10 Managed Vendors			
Third-Party IT Service Delivery	Vendor Management Policy, Service Level Monitoring Policy,		
Management Policy	Vendor NDA Appendix		
MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements			
Compliance Policy	Internal Audit Policy and Employee KPIs		
APO12 Managed Risk			
There are no specific principles, policies, and procedures for small and medium-sized enterprises for this			
management objective.			

Table 8. Gap Analysis for Principles, Policies, and Procedures Component

Table 8 presents the results of the gap analysis for the principles, policies, and procedures components, showing that BPRACo does not have gaps in GMO APO10, MEA03, and APO12.

# 3.6 Result of Gap Analysis for Cultures, Ethics, and Behavior Component

Table 9 displays the culture, ethics, and behavior components of the selected GMO practices, as well as the cultural recommendations that should be implemented at BPRACo.

Key Cultural Elements	Current State			
APO10 Managed Vendors				
Building and managing a vendor ecosystem that can assist the organization in its digital transformation	BPRACo has not yet continuously scanned for new partners while still maintaining contracts			
and innovation. Continuously scanning the landscape for effective new partners	with existing vendors.			
MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements				
Promoting a culture of compliance awareness,	BPRACo maintains a compliance culture			
including zero tolerance for non-compliance with legal	with legal requirements due to its operations			
and regulatory requirements.	in the financial sector.			

APO12 Managed Risk				
To support a transparent and participative risk culture,	Currently, BPRACo has implemented a			
senior management must set the direction and	communication culture with governance			
demonstrate visible and genuine support for integrating	related to risk management.			
risk practices across the organization. Management				
should encourage open communication and business				
ownership of risks related to IT and technology.				
	Legend: Gaps in Key Cultural Elements			

Table 9 shows that BPRACo still has a gap in the recommended culture for practice APO10, specifically in continuously scanning the landscape for more effective vendors.

### 3.7 Result of Gap Analysis for Services, Infrastructures, and Applications Component

Table 10 displays the recommended service, infrastructure, and application components for each GMO to support various business services.

#### Table 10. Gap Analysis for Services, Infrastructures, and Applications Component

Services, Infrastructures, and Applications	Current State			
APC	D10 Managed Vendors			
Contract Management System	BPRACo does not yet have an application for managing			
	contract systems.			
Third-party Assurance Services	BPRACo is already using third-party services.			
MEA03 Managed Co	mpliance with External Requirements			
Regulatory Watch Services	BPRACo does not yet use regulatory monitoring tools.			
Third-party Compliance Assessment	BPRACo uses external services to test its compliance.			
Services				
AI	PO12 Managed Risk			
Crisis Management Services	BPRACo has the DUDE application, which helps handle			
	crises with reliable data recovery.			
Governance, Risk and Compliance	BPRACo has the DUDE application, which can assist with			
(GRC) tools	data governance.			
Risk Analysis Tools	BPRACo does not yet have an application related to risk			
	analysis.			
Risk Intelligence Services	BPRACo does not have services related to risk intelligence			
	services.			
	Legend: Gaps in Services			

Table 10 explains that BPRACo currently still has gaps in contract management system services, regulatory watch services, risk analysis tools, and risk intelligence services.

# **3.8 Potential Improvement**

This section contains improvement recommendations for each identified gap. The improvement recommendations are divided into three aspects: people, process, and technology.

Components	Type Potential Improvement							
APO10 Managed Vendors								
Organizational	Responsibility	Adding responsibilities as a Data Protection Officer						
Structure								
People, Skills, and	Skill & Awareness	Conducting staff training and awareness related to						
Competencies		sourcing						
Culture, Ethics, and	Responsibility	Increasing responsibilities for regularly finding						
Behavior		vendors						
<b>MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements</b>								

Table 11. People Aspect Potential Improvement

Organizational	Roles, Responsibility	Adding a new role as Financial Manager			
Structure					
APO12 Managed Risk					
Organizational	Skill & Awareness	Conducting training and obtaining professional			
Structure		certification in the field of network security			
TT 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 .				

Table 11 outlines the improvement recommendations for gaps in the people aspect, which includes organizational structure, people, skills and competencies, and culture, ethics, and behavior components.

Table 12. Process Aspect Potential Improvement

Components	Туре	Potential Improvement			
APO10 Managed Vendors					
Process	Procedures	Adding procedures for requesting RFI and RFP documents, vendor			
		risk mitigation for contracted vendors, and periodic vendor			
		performance evaluation procedures			
Information	Record	Creating documents for vendor evaluation results obtained from			
		vendor RFI and RFP documents			
Information	Record	Adding documents for identifying risks posed by vendors			
MEA03 Managed Compliance with External Requirements					
Process	Procedures	Adding procedures for handling compliance that BPRACo has not yet			
		met			
Information	Record	Adding additional documents related to the gaps experienced b			
		BPRACo in relation to regulations			
		APO12 Managed Risk			
Process	Procedures	Adding procedures for risk handling scenarios and their impact on			
		business activities			
Process	Procedures	Adding indicators for risks that can be quickly identified			
Information	Record	Adding documentation for reports to evaluate the results of risk			
		assessments for third parties collaborating with BPRACo			

Table 12 presents explanations for improvement recommendations addressing gaps in the process aspect, which includes process components and information components. However, Table 12 only displays the process and information components, as the principles, policies, and procedures components currently do not have any gaps at BPRACo.

Components	Туре	Potential Improvement					
	APO10 Managed Vendors						
Services, Infrastructures,	Tools	Determining tools that can help BPRACo manage its					
and Applications		contract system					
MEA03 N	Managed Co	ompliance with External Requirements					
Services, Infrastructures,	Tools	Determining tools or services to monitor the latest					
and Applications		regulations issued by regulators					
	AF	PO12 Managed Risk					
Services, Infrastructures,	Tools	Determining applications that can help BPRACo analyze					
and Applications		potential risks within the company					
Services, Infrastructures,	Features	Determining services or features that can help BPRACo					
and Applications		collect risk data, perform risk analysis, generate reports, and					
		provide risk mitigation recommendations.					

Table 13. Technology Aspect Potential Improvement

Table 13 explains the improvement recommendations for the technology aspect based on gap analysis. In the technology aspect, there is only one component: the service, infrastructure, and application component.

# 3.9 Potential Improvement Roadmap Based on Resource, Risk, and Value (RRV) Analysis

Table 14 outlines the results of the resource, risk, and value (RRV) analysis for all potential improvements across each aspect. Based on the RRV analysis, an implementation priority for

improvements at BPRACo is established. The RRV analysis is divided into three categories based on the scores obtained: high, medium, and low. The higher the score, the higher the priority for implementing the improvement.

Table 14. Potential Improvement Priority

Potential Improvement	Score	Priority
People Aspect		
Conduct training and raise staff awareness regarding sourcing knowledge and skills.	12	1
Add a new role and responsibility that operates independently to manage the company's finances.	9	2
Increase the responsibility of the IT team to regularly search for vendors and scan for new partners.	8	3
Add the responsibility of a Data Protection Officer at BPRACo to protect the privacy of information from external parties.	6	4
Conduct training and obtain professional certifications in network and data security that can be implemented within the company.	6	5
Process Aspect		•
Add procedures for requesting RFI and RFP documents, vendor risk mitigation procedures, and points for periodic vendor performance evaluations.	27	1
Add procedures for risk handling scenarios and their impact on business activities.	18	2
Implement a policy to evaluate the results of risk assessments for third parties collaborating with BPRACo.	18	3
Create documentation for vendor evaluation results obtained from RFI and RFP documents.	12	4
Add supplementary information regarding gaps BPRACo experiences with regulations.	12	5
Add risk indicators that can be quickly identified.	12	6
Develop a report documenting the results of vendor evaluations, to be consistently performed.	9	7
Add procedures for addressing compliance issues that BPRACo has not yet met.	6	8
Technology Aspect		
Identify applications that can assist BPRACo in analyzing potential risks to the company.	18	1
Determine tools that can help BPRACo manage contract systems with partnering vendors.	6	2
Identify tools or services for monitoring the latest regulations issued by regulators.	6	3
Identify services or features that can help BPRACo collect risk data, perform risk analysis, generate reports, and provide recommendations for risk mitigation.	6	4

# **3.10 Recommendations**

At this stage, recommendations from the gap analysis results are mapped out, divided into three aspects from the seven components. The first aspect are *people*, which includes organizational structure, personnel, skills and competencies, and culture, ethics, and behavior. The second aspect is *process*, which includes processes, information, and principles, policies, and procedures. The last aspect is *technology*, which includes services, infrastructure, and applications.

# 3.10.1 People Aspect Recommendations

Recommendations for the people aspect include adding roles and responsibilities as well as training and certification related to skills with gaps. Recommendations include adding a Financial Manager role, who would be responsible for maintaining financial records in compliance with audit requirements, assisting in financial planning and budgeting, collecting financial analyses, advising on financial planning, monitoring expenditures, and suggesting effective budget usage. Additionally, the role of Data Protection Officer is recommended to address data protection and privacy concerns, and this responsibility will be added to the existing role of IT Manager, Research, and Business Development. Further, it is suggested to include ongoing responsibilities for searching for new vendors within the IT Manager, Research, and Business Development role. Training and certification recommendations include Strategic Negotiation Skills training and Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) certification to enhance sourcing skills, as well as ISO/IEC 27001 certification to improve network and data security skills.

### 3.10.2 Process Aspect Recommendations

Recommendations for the process aspect involve adding standard operating procedures (SOPs) and additional reporting documents. The SOP additions include SOPs for Vendor Selection, Compliance Handling, Risk Handling, and Risk Trend Identification. The Vendor Selection SOP covers the process for requesting vendor RFI and RFP documents and periodically evaluating vendor performance. The Compliance Handling SOP outlines the steps to address compliance actions that BPRACo has not yet met with regulators. The Risk Handling SOP details standards for risk scenarios and identifying the impact of risks on business processes. The Risk Trend Identification SOP includes standards for grouping risks and identifying risks based on industry trends.

These SOP additions are based on the identified gaps in the process component. Additionally, for the information component, there will be new reporting documents: Vendor RFI/RFP Evaluation Document, Vendor Risk Evaluation Results Document, and Compliance Action Plan Document. The Vendor RFI/RFP Evaluation Document includes evaluation methodology, vendor review based on RFI/RFP documents, evaluation criteria, analysis, results, and recommendations. The Vendor Risk Evaluation Results Document contains risk identification methods, risk categorization, risk assessment and mitigation procedures, documentation and reporting, and recommendations. The Compliance Action Plan Document outlines binding regulations for BPRACo, the results of regulatory gap analysis, causes of gaps, action plans, and monitoring and evaluation of gaps.

### 3.10.3 Technology Aspect Recommendations

Recommendations for the technology aspect involve adopting tools and features. The adoption of tools includes Concord, Compliance.ai, and RiskWatch. The adoption of features requires the RiskWatch application, which provides functionalities for risk data collection, risk analysis, reporting, and mitigation recommendations. The Concord tool will be adopted to assist BPRACo in managing its contract management system (CMS). Compliance.ai will be implemented to help BPRACo monitor regulatory changes in the banking industry and will be used as a governance, risk, and compliance (GRC) tool. The adoption of RiskWatch tools and features will support risk analysis, reporting, and risk mitigation actions and will be used for enterprise risk management (ERM).

#### 3.10.4 Implementation Roadmap

The implementation roadmap for improvements is divided into several periods or quarters (Q): the first quarter (Q1) from January to March, the second quarter (Q2) from April to June, the third quarter (Q3) from July to September, and the fourth quarter (Q4) from October to December. The earliest implementation schedule is organized based on the RRV analysis priorities, as shown in Table 15

Recommendations		20	25			20	26	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
People Aspect								
Conduct staff training on sourcing knowledge and skills								
Adding a role and responsibilities as a financial manager								
Increase the responsibilities of the IT team to regularly find vendors for new								
partner scanning								
Adding the responsibility of a data protection officer at BPRACo								
Provide training and certification in the field of network and data security.								
Process Aspect								
Adding procedures related to vendor selection								
Adding procedures for handling risks								
Adding reports on vendor risk evaluations								

Table 15. Recommendations of Potential Improvement Implementation Roadmaps

Recommendations 2025			2026					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Create documents for RFI/RFP vendor evaluations								
Create additional notes on regulatory gaps experienced by BPRACo								
Adding risk indicators that can be quickly identified with risk trends								
Consistently create evaluation reports								
Adding procedures for addressing regulatory gaps								
Technology Aspect								
Adopt an application that can analyze risks								
Adopt a contract management system application								
Adopt tools or services to monitor the latest regulations								
Adopt application features for collecting risk data, risk analysis, reporting,								
and risk mitigation recommendations								

Table 15 outlines the planned implementation roadmap for improvements from the first quarter (Q1) of 2025 to the fourth quarter (Q4) of 2026. For the people aspect, implementation planning starts in the third quarter (Q3) of 2025 and ends in the third quarter (Q3) of 2026. For the process aspect, recommendations for implementation begin in the first quarter (Q1) of 2025 and continue through the fourth quarter (Q4) of 2026. Finally, for the technology aspect, the improvement plan implementation starts in the fourth quarter (Q4) of 2025 and concludes in the third quarter (Q3) of 2026.

# **3.10.5 Implementation Plan Impact**

Table 16 shows the impact of the implementation plan before and after the improvements. The impact is divided into seven components; however, the impact does not cover the components of principles, policies, and procedures as no gaps were found in these components.

Before Implementation	After Implementation						
Process	Component						
APO10 Managed Vendors	APO10 Managed Vendors						
Average capability level 3,1	Average capability level 4,2						
MEA03 Managed Compliance with External	MEA03 Managed Compliance with External						
Requirements	Requirements						
Average capability level 3,25	Average capability level 3,5						
APO12 Managed Risk	APO12 Managed Risk						
Average capability level 3,8	Average capability level 4,17						
Organizational S	tructures Component						
There is no Financial Manager yet	Finance Manager						
There is no Security Expert position yet	IT, Research, and Business Development Manager.						
There is no one fully responsible as a Privacy	IT, Research, and Business Development Manager.						
Officer yet							
Information Component							
No RFI and RFP evaluation documents available.	RFI and RFP Evaluation Documents						
No vendor risk identification documents	Vendor Risk Identification Documents						
available.							
Compliance gaps have not been mapped.	Compliance Gap Documents						
Detailed risk analysis for third parties has not	Risk Evaluation Report for Third Parties						
been conducted.							
People, Skills, and C	ompetencies Component						
No expert in sourcing	Training in negotiation skills for managers, Strategic						
	Negotiation Skills training, Certified Professional in						
	Supply Management (CPSM), Supplier Relationship						
	Management (SRM).						
Cultures, Ethicts, ar	nd Behavior Component						
Has not continuously scanned for new partners.	A culture of identifying, evaluating, and selecting						
	vendors regularly to find competitive contenders.						

Table 16. Impact of Implementation Design

Before Implementation	After Implementation
Services, Infrastructures,	and Applications Component
Currently lacks a contract management system	Concord helps manage contract systems or contract
application.	management systems (CMS).
Has not yet used tools providing regulatory	Compliance.ai serves as governance, risk, and
monitoring services	compliance (GRC) tools
Lacks applications related to risk analysis	RiskWatch functions as tools and services for risk
Does not have services related to risk intelligence	management or enterprise risk management (ERM).

Table 16 explains how the improvement plan can affect the seven components. For the process component, GMO APO10 shows an increase in average capability score from 3.1 to 4.2, GMO MEA03 shows an increase from 3.25 to 3.5, and GMO APO12 shows an increase from 3.8 to 4.17. In the organizational structure component, a new role, Financial Manager, has been added, along with additional responsibilities for the Manager of IT, Research, and Business Development. In the information component, several evaluation documents have been added. For the people, skills, and competencies component, training and certifications have been recommended to improve sourcing capabilities. In the culture, ethics, and behavior component, a new culture has been introduced that supports ongoing vendor scanning to find more competitive vendors. In the services, infrastructure, and applications component, various tools and features have been introduced to help BPRACo manage its contract management system, GRC tools, and enterprise risk management.

#### 4. Research Discussions

This study confirms that an effective ambidextrous IT governance mechanism is crucial for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) like BPR. The findings align with previous research conducted on large banks with different framework like COBIT 2019 Information Security, COBIT 2019 I&T Risk, COBIT 2019 GMO focused on APO and DSS domain, which emphasizes the critical role of IT governance for achieving successful technology development. IT governance ensures that technology investments align with business goals, thereby enhancing BPRACo's ability to remain competitive in the rapidly evolving technology landscape. BPRACo faces challenges in implementing a hybrid IT governance approach due to resource and financial constraints. However, with the right strategy, combining traditional IT governance with agile-adaptive IT governance, BPRACo can improve efficiency and support a successful technology development journey. This research adds to the existing knowledge on how hybrid IT governance approaches can be adapted and applied to companies with limited resources or SMEs, and also demonstrates that the flexibility of IT governance implementation can be tailored to different environments and scales of companies. In the others hands for practical implication, with appropriate implementation BPRACo would increase their average capability that can help them with their DT journey.

### 5. Conclusion

This research has limitations, which are the research framework used is COBIT 2019 SME Focus Area that focused on IT management for DT and the research object is still SMEs so that implementation result is influenced by budget, culture, and company characteristics.

This research shows that the effective implementation of IT governance (TKTI) is crucial for the success of technology development (TD), especially for companies still categorized as SMEs like BPRACo. The ambidextrous IT governance approach, combining traditional and agile-adaptive IT governance, has allowed BPRACo to enhance efficiency and adapt to the dynamic technology environment. This success is evidenced by the increased capability scores in the process components of priority GMOs: APO10 rising from 3.1 to 4.2, MEA03 from 3.25 to 3.5, and APO12 from 3.8 to 4.1. Not only the process components, but all seven components were adjusted according to the COBIT 2019 SME focus area guidelines to guide a successful TD journey. Adjustments included adding roles and responsibilities in the organizational structure, new documents in the information component, training and certification recommendations in the people, skills, and competencies component, new culture developments in the culture, ethics, and behavior component, and new tools and features in the services, infrastructure, and applications component. These adjustments support BPRACo's success in TD by ensuring technology investments align with business goals, enhancing competitiveness, and ensuring compliance with regulations.

Also, there is a recommendation for practitioners, the researcher hopes this study can serve as a reference in applying the COBIT 2019 SME focus area framework in designing digital transformation for

companies classified as SMEs. The researcher also hopes this study can be a guide for practitioners in crafting improvement recommendations for companies. For BPRACo, the researcher hopes this study can be used as a reference for reviewing the current state of IT governance in the company. The recommendations provided by the researcher should be considered for implementation to assist BPRACo in achieving a successful digital transformation journey.

#### REFERENCES

- Andyas, M. A., Mulyana, R., & Nurtrisha, W. A. (2023). Manajemen Keamanan Informasi Untuk Transformasi Digital INSURCo Berbasis COBIT 2019 Focus Area Information Security. ZONAsi: Jurnal Sistem Informasi, 5(3), 452–467.
- Bloomberg, J. (2018). Digitization, digitalization, and digital transformation: confuse them at your peril. *Forbes. Retrieved on August*, 28, 2019.
- Dewi, Y. W. D. M., Mulyana, R., & Santoso, A. F. (2023). Penggunaan COBIT 2019 I&T Risk Management untuk Pengelolaan Risiko Transformasi Digital BankCo. Jutisi: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Informatika Dan Sistem Informasi, 12(3).
- Ebert, C., & Duarte, C. H. C. (2018). Digital transformation. IEEE Softw., 35(4), 16-21.
- Fusch Ph D, P. I., & Ness, L. R. (2015). Are we there yet? Data saturation in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 20(9), 1408–1416.
- Gong, C., & Ribiere, V. (2021). Developing a unified definition of digital transformation. *Technovation*, 102, 102217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2020.102217
- Gurbaxani, V., & Dunkle, D. (2019). Gearing up for successful digital transformation. *MIS Q. Executive*, *18*(3), 6.
- Haes, D., Grembergen, W., Joshi, A., & Huygh, T. (2020). Enterprise Governance of IT, Alignment, and Value (pp. 1–13). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25918-1\_1
- Hevner, A., & Chatterjee, S. (2010). *Design research in information systems: theory and practice* (Vol. 22). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2008). Design science in information systems research. Management Information Systems Quarterly, 28(1), 6.
- ISACA. (2021). COBIT for Small and Medium Enterprises Using COBIT 2019.
- Johannesson, P., & Perjons, E. (2014). An introduction to design science (Vol. 10). Springer.
- Küller, P., Vogt, M., Hertweck, D., & Grabowski, M. (2012). IT service management for small and medium-sized enterprises: a domain specific approach. *Journal of Innovation Management in Small* & Medium Enterprises, 2012, 1.
- Lompoliu, E. M., Francolla, G. B. R. F., Mandoya, G. R., Walangitan, M. D., & Mambu, J. Y. (2022). Information Technology Governance Analysis Using The COBIT 2019 Framework at XYZ Institution. *Cogito Smart Journal*, 8(2), 346–358.
- Mulyana, R., Rusu, L., & Perjons, E. (2021). IT Governance Mechanisms Influence on Digital Transformation: A Systematic Literature Review. Twenty-Seventh Americas' Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS), Digital Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Virtual Conference, August 9-13, 2021, 1–10.

363

- Mulyana, R., Rusu, L., & Perjons, E. (2022). IT Governance Mechanisms that Influence Digital Transformation: A Delphi Study in Indonesian Banking and Insurance Industry. *Pacific Asia* Conference on Information Systems (PACIS), AI-IS-ASIA (Artificial Intelligence, Information Systems, in Pacific Asia), Virtual Conference, July 5-9, 2022. https://aisel.aisnet.org/pacis2022/267/
- Mulyana, R., Rusu, L., & Perjons, E. (2023). How Hybrid IT Governance Mechanisms Influence Digital Transformation and Organizational Performance in the Banking and Insurance Industry in Indonesia. *The International Conference on Information Systems Development (ISD)*, 1–12.
- Mulyana, R., Rusu, L., & Perjons, E. (2024a). Key ambidextrous IT governance mechanisms for successful digital transformation: A case study of Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI). *Digital Business*, 4(2), 100083. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.digbus.2024.100083
- Mulyana, R., Rusu, L., & Perjons, E. (2024b). Key Ambidextrous IT Governance Mechanisms Influence on Digital Transformation and Organizational Performance in Indonesian Banking and Insurance. *PACIS 2024 Proceedings*, 7. https://aisel.aisnet.org/pacis2024/track15\_govce/track15\_govce/7
- Nirmala, B. P. W., & Lavianto, S. (2019). Pemanfaatan Digital Enabler Dalam Transformasi Pemasaran Desa Wisata Berbasis Kerakyatan Di Bali. *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Dan Komputer*, 5(1).
- Obwegeser, N., Yokoi, T., Wade, M., & Voskes, T. (2020). 7 key principles to govern digital initiatives. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 61(3), 1–9.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2016). Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 75/POJK.03/2016 Tentang Standar Penyelenggaraan Teknologi Informasi Bagi Bank Perkreditan Rakyat dan Bank Pembiayaan Rakyat Syariah. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/Documents/Pages/POJK-tentang-Standar-Penyelenggaraan-Teknologi-Informasi-bagi-Bank-Perkreditan-Rakyat-dan-Bank-Pembiayaan-Rakyat-Syariah/pojk%2075-2016.pdf
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2024). Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Republik Indonesia Nomor 7 Tahun 2024 Tentang Bank Perekonomian Rakyat dan Bank Perekonomian Rakyat Syariah. https://ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/Documents/Pages/Bank-Perekonomian-Rakyat-dan-Bank-Perekonomian-Rakyat-Syariah-POJK-7-Tahun-2024/POJK%207%20TAHUN%202024%20Bank%20Perekonomian%20Rakyat%20dan%20Bank %20Perekonomian%20Rakyat%20Syariah.pdf
- Pahrevi, F. A., Mulyana, R., & Ramadani, L. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Tata Kelola TI terhadap Transformasi Digital dan Kinerja Asuransi C. Explore: Jurnal Sistem Informasi Dan Telematika (Telekomunikasi, Multimedia Dan Informatika), 13(2), 131–143.
- Permana, D. A., Fauzi, R., & Mulyana, R. (2021). Perancangan Tata Kelola Teknologi Informasi untuk Transformasi Digital di Industri Perbankan Menggunakan Framework COBIT 2019 Domain Align, Plan, and Organise: Studi Kasus di Bank XYZ. *EProceedings of Engineering*, 8(5).
- Prayudi, R. A., Mulyana, R., & Fauzi, R. (2023). Pengendalian Digitalisasi FintechCo Melalui Perancangan Pengelolaan Keamanan Informasi Berbasis COBIT 2019 Information Security Focus Area. SEIKO: Journal of Management & Business, 6(2).
- Rahmadana, A., Mulyana, R., & Santoso, A. F. (2023). Pemanfaatan COBIT 2019 Information Security Dalam Merancang Manajemen Keamanan Informasi Pada Transformasi BankCo. Jutisi: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Informatika Dan Sistem Informasi, 12(3).

- Satriadi, A. F., Mulyana, R., & Fauzi, R. (2023). Agile IT Service Management Design of FINTECHCo Digitalization Based On COBIT 2019 DevOps Focus Area. Jurnal Teknik Informatika (Jutif), 4(5), 1165–1177.
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects. *Education* for Information, 22(2), 63–75.
- Tarbiyatuzzahrah, B. D., Mulyana, R., & Santoso, A. F. (2023). Penggunaan COBIT 2019 GMO dalam Menyusun Pengelolaan Layanan TI Prioritas pada Transformasi Digital BankCo. *JTIM: Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Dan Multimedia*, 5(3), 218–238.
- Vejseli, S., Proba, D., Rossmann, A., & Jung, R. (2018). The agile strategies in IT Governance: Towards a framework of agile IT Governance in the banking industry. *Twenty-Sixth European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS 2018)*, 1–17.
- Viamianni, A., Mulyana, R., & Dewi, F. (2023). COBIT 2019 Information Security Focus Area Implementation For REINSURCo Digital Transformation. JIKO (Jurnal Informatika Dan Komputer), 6(2). https://doi.org/10.33387/jiko.v6i2.6366
- Volders, G. (2021, November 17). Nilai COBIT untuk Usaha Kecil dan Menengah. https://www.isaca.org/resources/news-and-trends/isaca-now-blog/2021/cobits-value-for-small-andmedium-enterprises